

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/753,163	<b>Applicant(s)</b> EISENMAN, ROBERT C.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Julie Lieu	<b>Art Unit</b> 2612	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** to be allowed

(1) Julie Lieu.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Hani Sayed.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 14 August 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☐ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

26

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*


**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: An examiner's amendment will be made to add the feature that the handheld device transmits location signal to a remote monitoring station via satellite. This claim limitation will put the application in condition for allowance. (No written response by Applicant is needed since the interview result in the allowance of the application. .

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "T. J. [unclear]", is written on the right side of the page.